#	Issue	CLEC(s)	SORT value	Curr-ent Status	SBC Response:
					Change to AIR.
51	SASN Field On Supplement Business Rules Handout for Attach D, Add clarifying language regarding the SASN company note to state "where applicable". SBC to also correct this same reference in all other fields that have this same SASN note.	WCOM	Ordering	ADC	5/1/01 – ADC pending -- Linda Reed to work with Monet Topps to recommend proposed wording. 5/2/01 - SBC proposed language will say "If SASN is returned in the preorder validation, and is required in the LSR, then populate the SASN field with the data returned from preorder."
52	SECNCI field On Supplement Business Rules Handout for Attach D, SBC to clarify the last sentence of the company note – Is this particular to ReqTyp K or whether it was to imply that also for a loop usage of SECLOC, CLEC will need to check other conditions in the CLEC handbook.	WCOM	Ordering	ADC	5/1/01 – Under Investigation 5/2/01 – SBC will modify the Unique Company Note to read: "Refer to CLEC Online within the applicable product sections for further information on this field." 5/17/01 – SBC recommend ADC. 5/18/01 - Parties agree to ADC.
53	AAI field On Supplement Business Rules Handout for Attach D, SBC to update the Unique Company Note to reflect: "Any type of location information other than the data in the LD1, LD2, or LD3 fields should be entered in this field."	AT&T	Ordering	ADC	5/1/01 – Subject to outcome of issue 55.
54	AAI field Parties agree to replace the first Company Note with the following: "If AAI is returned in preorder address validation, that data must be populated in the field, when SASN is populated." Also modify the first Company Condition to read: "Prohibited when SASN is not populated."	AT&T	Ordering	ADC	5/1/01 – Documentation Change
55	AAI field SBC to validate if valid value of ROOM is returned, how will it be returned - as a value of AAI or will it be converted to RM and returned in the LD field?	AT&T	Synchronize (Ordering /PreOrder)	NR	5/1/01 – Under Investigation 5/11/01 - SBC will return one of the following valid entries in the LD1, LD2 and LD3 fields: BLDG, WNG, PIER, FLR, APT, LOT, RM, SLIP, SUIT, UNIT, TRLR. If SBC's database has ROOM spelled out instead of RM, the LD field will return RM and the LV field will return ROOM along with the room value. The Room information would only be returned in the AAI field if the LD1, LV1, LD2, LV2, LD3 and LV3 fields are populated and a fourth field is needed. Based on the rules listed above,

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	SORT value	Curr-ent Status	SBC Response:
					no conversion process is needed. 5/18/01 – SBC clarified this is only an exception only in the remote case that the data happened to be spelled out. CLECs indicated that what if the value happened to be "APARTMENT 1302" appeared in database it would exceed the field length. Parties understand that there is not going to be a complete database cleanup due to the massive undertaking, especially for this hypothetical situation. CLECs agree that (in this rare hypothetical scenario) they *don't want SBC to return the value in AAI field.* SBC doesn't believe these database situations exist, but SBC will take back once more for the APARTMENT situation to see if such data would occur, and will investigate how data is edited when data is updated to the database. Change to AIR. 5/23/01 SBC has re-checked its address validation databases and verified that the values identified for the LD fields are always abbreviated with the tags identified above. If there are circumstances (i.e., migration) where a customer's service record may have one of these tag values spelled out instead of abbreviated, the LSC would handle manually.
56	LD1, LD2, LD3 field SBC to modify the first Company Condition of the LD fields to read: "*Prohibited when SASN is not populated.*"	WCOM	Ordering	ADC	5/1/01 – Under Investigation 5/1/01 – WCOM identified this issue is same as their WCOM BRPOR issue #6.
57	LD1, LD2, LD3 field a) SBC to re-validate the PreOrder portion of this field to see what valid entries we return in these LD fields from our existing database. b) Clarification of Company Note regarding FLOOR vs	WCOM	Ordering	a)NR b)ADC	5/2/01 – b) SBC will change the first Company note to read: "where FLOOR is the first location designator for the following address example, the entry in this field should be FLR." This will be changed on LD 1, 2, and 3. *CLECs agree to documentation change.*

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	SORT value	Curr-ent Status	SBC Response:
	FLR				5/8/01 – Related to 55. 5/11/01 a) SBC will return the following valid entries in the LD1, LD2 and LD3 fields: BLDG, WNG, PIER, FLR, APT, LOT, RM, SLIP, SUIT, UNIT, TRLR 5/18/01 – AT&T requested SBC to double check to see if there are additional valid returned entries in AIT. If there are, would they default to AAI? 5/23/01 a) AIT does have the following values in addition to the defined LD Values identified above: UPR, LWR, BSMT, ATTIC, REAR, FRNT, BED, STORE. These additional values will be returned in the LV fields associated with one of the following LD values: LV Value = UPR, LWR, BSMT or ATTIC – associated LD Value = FLR LV Value = REAR, FRNT, BED or STORE – associated LD Value = RM
58	Attachment E - Family of fields – ATN, CFA BTN, EATN. Check use of AN field for alpha billing account to use instead of ATN, CFA BTN, and EATN.	AT&T	Ordering	UI	5/1/01 – Under investigation.
59	Attachment E – LST field SBC to reevaluate the last 2 conditions to determine if they are applicable	WCOM	Ordering	NR	5/1/01 – Under investigation. 5/23/01 – The LST condition "Required when REQTYP is K and the ACT is N or ACT is C with an LNA of N and the SC is CA, NV or CT and the 2nd position of TOS is V, otherwise prohibited", will be corrected to read if REQTYP is E and the ACT is N or ACT is C with an LNA of N and the SC is CA, NV or CT and the 2nd position of TOS is V, otherwise prohibited". SWBT/ PB/CT offer Switched 56 dial up service which is ordered with REQTYP E, not REQTYP K. This product requires the LST when ordered new. The product is not available in AIT. SBC will move this field to the Attachment C.
60	AT&T requested the sources and substantiation for	AT&T	Ordering	RPA	5/1/01 – WCOM identified this issue is same as their WCOM

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	SORT value	Curr-ent Status	SBC Response:
	definition of SBC's internal definition of business rules and why "valid values" is excluded.	WCOM			BRPOR issue #1. 5/2/01 - SBC reviewed the following sources in drafting the definition of Business Rules: • Definition from <u>Modern Systems Analysis and Design</u> by Jeffry A. Hoffer, Joey F. George, and Joseph S. Valacich, pages 351 – 365, published in 1998. • "Business rules are specifications that preserve the integrity of the logical data model. There are four types of business rules: 1. *Entity integrity.* Each instance of an entity type must have a unique identifier that is not null. 2. *Referential integrity constraints.* Rules concerning the relationships between entity types. 3. *Domains.* Constraints on valid values for attributes. 4. *Triggering operations.* Other business rules that protect the validity of attribute values." • "A Domain is the set of all data types and ranges of values that attributes may assume." • Comments of MCI WorldCom, Inc., In re Matter of Applications for Consent to the Transfer of Control of Licenses and Section 214 Authorization from Ameritech Corporation to SBC Communications Inc., Federal Communications Commission, CC Docket No. 98-141, at p. 30 fn. 14 (filed July 19, 1999) • "*Business rules include the nature and scope of the business transactions the interfacing parties conduct together, identifies what information must be exchanged, and identifies the syntax and permissible set of values associated with the exchanged information, so the information can be accepted and processed by the receiver.*" • AT&T – U&E POR Page 69 CAT IV (1) (A) • "finalized business rules" mean the rule(s) that prescribe the relationship between the data element(s) or condition(s) when a data element is required or prohibited in conjunction with the

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	SORT value	Curr-ent Status	SBC Response:
	⋮				existence of another data element or ordering condition. • Definition of Business Rules from Verizon's website • The Verizon West Business Rules represent a family of documents necessary to support the application of electronic fields, system edits, and field usage rules essential for pre-ordering, ordering and provisioning of Verizon Communication's resale and unbundled network services. The foregoing definitions refer to the "permissible set" of values, "constraints on valid values", the "relationship between elements", etc. SBC's definition of business rules as the "range of valid values" rather than actual valid values, is consistent with these definitions. 5/18/01 – Parties were not in agreement so status changed to AIR.
61	Attachment E AT&T requested the BRPOR documentation be enhanced to include rationale or decision support, including technical, operational, and economic factors, for fields included in attachment E.	AT&T McCleod	Ordering	NR	5/1/01 – Under investigation. 5/25/01 - SBC has updated the BR POR language to provide additional support, rationale for the fields being included in Attachment E.
62	APPTIME field – Attach C Update Uniform LSOR for region specific business rules. Check applicability for NV.	AT&T	Ordering	ADC	5/2/01 – Documentation change only.
63	AUTHNUM field – Attach C Check if any other time constraints that AIT may have. Clarify how long AIT can hold facilities without receiving an LSR?	NightFire	Ordering	CA	5/1/01 – Under investigation. 5/11/01 - Facilities can be held for 30 days. After that if another LSR is submitted requiring facilities and none exist, the reserved facilities will be released and used for the new customer request. Once facilities have been reserved the CLEC would have to send a LSR to the LSC within a time frame that would allow a service order to be issued within the 30 day period after reservation number has been provided. 5/18/01 – Participants agreed this addresses the issue and decided to CLOSE AGREE.
64	CHC on LSR form – Attach C Clarification on this business rule on the Uniform LSOR: Is not prohibited for all REQTYPs for other regions.	AT&T	Ordering	RPA	5/2/01 – Documentation change only. 5/15/01 - Part 1. Uniform LSOR to be modified to read

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	SORT value	Curr-ent Status	SBC Response:
	AT&T also wants to know for SNET when "may require manual intervention" is needed, and update the business rule and Unique Company Note in CHC.				If REQTYP A or B, and SC = CT then CLEC must have signed MOU in place to order EPS services. Original condition is valid as CHC is not available for RESALE REQTYPS except in CT : Optional when SC is CT, and the REQTYP is E, K, P, R, T or Z, otherwise prohibited. Part. 2. All request for EPS functions in SNET require manual intervention - there is no flow through when EXP, DFDT or CHC are populated. Coordinated HOT CUT implies that the CLEC wants to be contacted by SBC to ensure seamless cutover. 5/18/01 – SBC clarified that tariff is now available in SNET for those not under contract. Attachment C has also been updated to reflect this change. Related to Issue 68. AT&T questioned the impact of the recent tariff on EPS on the previous contracts. AT&T will be reviewing the tariff and requested to leave this open for now. Change to RPA.
65	CPE MFR field – Attach C Update the field if applicable for other regions other than AIT, however, the unique regional condition for the product offering for PBX DID is unique for AIT.	WCOM	Ordering	ADC	5/2/01 – Documentation change only. 5/15/01 - CPE field and CPE MFR will be moved from Attachment C to Attachment B - fields made uniform. This field will be used by all SBC regions when applicable for ordering ISDN BRI, ISDN PRI and PBX. 5/18/01 – Parties agree to ADC.
66	CPE MFR field – Attach C Validate 4th & 7th company conditions on Attachment A.	AT&T	Ordering	ADC	5/1/01 – Under investigation. 5/17/01 – The 4TH Condition on Attachment A read: Optional when SC = IL, IN, MI, OH or WI and REQTYP is T, U or W, ACT is C with an LNA of N or C, otherwise prohibited on ACT C. The 7th condition read: Optional when REQTYP is E, F or M, ACT is C, LNA is N or C and 2nd position of TOS is H, otherwise prohibited on ACT C.

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	SORT value	Curr-ent Status	SBC Response:
					We have validated that CPE MFR is required in all SBC regions on ACT N, ACT C with LNA N or REQTYPs T, U, W and for REQTYPs E,F,M when 2nd position of TOS is H.
					Conditions will be modified for 13-state uniform to reflect this change. SEE ISSUE 65. CPE MFR/MOD will move to Attachment B.
					The 4TH Condition will read: Optional when REQTYP is T, U, W, ACT is C and LNA is C.
					The 7th condition will read: Required when REQTYP is E, F or M, ACT is C, LNA is N and 2nd position of TOS is H.
					5/18/01 – SBC clarified that this will be done with Uniform Release, and therefore it will be moved to Attachment B for the BRPOR. Change to ADC.
67	DDD, DDDO – Attach C This field will be updated to reflect the settlement verbiage that Dual Service will be offered for Resale and UNE-P in regions where dual service is a retail offering. The regions affected will be AIT, and SNET. PB/NB do not have a retail dual service, therefore the product difference will continue to be applicable.	WCOM	Ordering	ADC	5/2/01 – Documentation change only.
68	DFDT field – Attach C Clarify what the EPS in SNET allow/prohibit?	AT&T	Ordering	RPA	5/2/01 – Under Investigation. 5/15/01 – EPS 1. Pre-Due Date Service (PDDSC) confirms due date prior to issuance of LSR. 2. Expedite Service - Provision service on a due date earlier then the currently offered due date. 3. Coordinated Cut-over Service - provide service at a specific time 4. Out of Hours Service - to provision service outside of the normally scheduled business day. EPS services are available with a signed MOU and the list of valid products and services than can be ordered with EPS are

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	SORT value	Curr-ent Status	SBC Response:
					listed in SNET CMIS APPENDIX R.
					5/17/01 – The EPS feature is available through SNET tariff filed 4/27/01.
					5/18/01 – AT&T requested status change to RPA.
69	Unique Company Note regarding "Check reference for TCIF" should be updated in the Uniform LSOR to appropriately reference EDI documentation.	AT&T	Ordering	ADC	5/2/01 – SBC to check all TCIF references and appropriately update LSOR verbiage.
70	FA, Feature, Feature Detail – Attach C Update Product Difference description based on settlement language. Ensure all regions impacted are clear. Check when PUB indicator required based on changes in the Settlement agreements.	WCOM	Ordering	NR	5/2/01 – Under Investigation. 5/17/01 – See issue 3 for updates regarding conversions between retail and UNE-P. Other usage of the PUB indicator continues to be under investigation in the BRPOR. 5/18/01 – Parties agree to change to UI. 5/23/01 – The PUB indicator will be required when E911 database would be affected by changes or services ordered. E.g. EU name change, correction to EU address. Issue 3 specifies when the PUB indicator is not required.
71	FA, Feature, Feature Detail – Attach C Clarify the last sentence of the Product Difference referencing line assignable USOCs. Investigate whether this can be changed to not require USOC. With SBC's support of account level Feature Activity, would USOCs always be required at a line level?	AT&T	Ordering	NR	5/2/01 – Under Investigation. 5/23/01 - SBC cannot remove the requirements for USOCs in the feature field for New activity. For those features that can be handled at the account level with the AFA, there would be no need for the USOC at the line level on the Product form - however the applicable USOC would be required in the Account Feature/Feature Detail fields on the LSR ADMIN FORM.
72	FA Add clarification in documentation for Uniform LSOR and BRPOR, to indicate the explicit business rule for the relationship and use of the NENA/ECC field and the Pub/Non-Pub indicator.	WCOM	Ordering	CD	5/2/01 – Under Investigation. 5/17/01 - SBC recommend CLOSE DUPLICATE to issue 70. 5/18/01 – Parties agree to CLOSE DUPLICATE TO issue 70.
73	GLARE field – Attach C Discrepancy between Attachments A and C regarding region differences. Also synch up LSOR between the ISDN UNE and ISDN Resale.	WCOM	Ordering	ADC	5/2/01 – Documentation change.
74	HA, IWJK, IWQ fields – Attach C	WCOM	Ordering	RPA	5/2/01 – Under Investigation.

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	SORT value	Curr-ent Status	SBC Response:
	Clarify if Tariff is applicable or not.				5/15/01 - (IWJK, IWQ) Inside Wire repair and provision of jacks is offered in all SBC regions for RESALE services on a contract basis. CLECs must establish inside wire contracts with their Account Managers. Once the contracts are in place the CLECs may order jacks for their EU. Inside wire repair and provisioning of jacks is NOT offered in any SBC region for UNE. HA field is not dependent on tariff reference, however the type of hunting HTYP is based on the tariff offerings in SBC regions and these fields are dependent on each other. Attachment C reference will be modified. 5/18/01 – WCOM requested change this to RPA to validate their original issue.
75	IWQ field – Attach C Synch up on LSOR the company conditions/notes.	SBC	Ordering	ADC	5/2/01 – Documentation change.
76	SSIG field – Attach C a) Update Attachment C to clarify specifics for PB/NB, AIT and SNET. For NV specifically, determine whether note for the REQTYP/Form in beginning of LSOR versus each field. b) Since applicable to SWBT, why are there only two state tariff references versus all 5 states.	AT&T	Ordering	a)ADC b)NR	5/2/01 – a) Documentation change. *Parties agree that instead of adding a condition to each field (which would be too onerous on both parties), SBC will add the following condition at the beginning of the Form (in addition to the leaving the existing note in the beginning of the LSOR). LSR REQTYP will be modified to include:* "Prohibited when the SC is NV and the Valid Entry is R, S or 3." *Usage strip for REQTYP R, S, and 3, will be changed to C for conditional. Parties agree to ADC.* b) Under Investigation. 5/15/01 - The condition on SSIG field on the DTU, DTR *(digital trunking) product forms is applicable to CA only. AIT, SNET, SWBT states offer Digital Trunking as a Lineside and Trunkside product, CA product offering is Trunkside only.* For all regions for Resale (REQTYP E), after further investigation, SBC has determined that the SSIG field should be Optional, as all regions have types of basic POTs service where loop or ground can be provisioned on the EU line. Field will move to uniform.

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	SORT value	Curr-ent Status	SBC Response:
					5/17/01 – On further review this change will be made with the uniform with LSOG5 release. This field will be updated to the Attachment B and LSOR. 5/18/01 – Change to AIR so CLECs can see the updates in new attachments prior to closing. 5/23/01 – b) SBC updated Attachments B & C.
77	ACT and LNA fields – Attach C SBC will provide update after validation of changes.	SBC	Ordering	NR	5/2/01 – Under Investigation 5/23/01 - Conditions that are region specific are: When REQTYP is E and SC is AR, KS, MO, OK or TX, valid entry of W is prohibited when the CLEC has a Wholesale Inside Wire Contract Is valid because in SWBT the inside wire contract is applicable to all the CLEC end users. The inside wire information must be populated in the feature fields. When REQTYP is P, valid entries of S and B are allowed when SC is AR, KS, MO, OK or TX only. SWBT allows temporary suspension of service for non-payment for resale Centrex. In other regions, no temporary suspension of Centrex is allowed.
78	EBP Field Clarify that this is for all 5 states and whether this is a result of regulatory condition as opposed to tariff. If tariffed, is it tariffed in all 5 states?	AT&T	Ordering	NR	5/2/01 – Under Investigation 5/17/01 - EBP is offered for basic business and residence services in all five SWBT states. Installment billing is tariffed in all SWBT states. Attachment C will be updated with the applicable tariff references. 5/18/01 – CLECs requested change to AIR until they see the updates to Attach C. 5/23/01 – SBC updated Attachment C.
79	NBANK field – Attach C a) Synch up AIT in the Product Difference in the Uniform LSOR. b) Clarify definition of BANK? Does it equal 1? c) Update CLEC handbook for clarification on how to order multiple banks.	AT&T	Ordering	ADC	5/2/01 – Under Investigation 5/17/01 - a) Add bank of 10 and 20 in addition to SINGLE in AIT. b) One Bank equals one station. c) Yes, CLECs may order the initial and subsequent BANKs on a single LSR.

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	SORT value	Curr-ent Status	SBC Response:
					5/18/01 - SBC also agrees to update in CLEC Handbook with appropriate details on how to order multiple BANKS. *Parties agree to ADC.*
80	PBX ID field – Attach C Clarify whether note needed line vs trunk reference?	AT&T	Ordering	ADC	5/2/01 – Under Investigation 5/17/01 - PBXID is an optional feature that allows the station user's number (calling party) to be transmitted over the ISDN PRI "D" Channel from DID equipped CPE PBXs. This number is provided by the originating station and must have an associated DID telephone number working in the central office (outgoing from the PBX). AIT calls the feature "PBX Station ID Capability". This product is not offered in any other SBC regions. Attachment C will be corrected with the above description of the product offering. The "line vs. trunk" reference will be removed. 5/18/01 – Parties agree to change to ADC.
81	TOT field – Attach C Add PB/NB to Product Difference in addition to AIT. Also, check about adding the Tariff reference for NV.	SBC	Ordering	ADC	5/2/01 – Documentation change.
82	VTA field – Attach C How would we indicate the Variable Term Agreement option and the Merger Discount in the same field at the same time? Can this be table driven so that the Merger Condition Discount is applied by default?	AT&T	Ordering	UI	5/2/01 – Under Investigation.
83	PTNRACT field – Attach C If only applicable to SWBT, why is there a AIT PB Tariff reference and not a SWB tariff reference?	AT&T	Ordering	NR	5/2/01 – Under Investigation. 5/11/01 - ATTACHMENT C will be modified and corrected to show SWBT tariff reference and SWBT MSD for the products SELECT VIDEO AND SELECT DATA. 5/18/01 – Parties change to AIR. 5/23/01 – SBC updated Attachment C.
84	TOS field – Attach C Request more specific tariff references. Also, validate the 3rd, 4th, and 5th unique company conditions for AIT applicability.	AT&T	Ordering	NR	5/2/01 – Under Investigation. 5/23/01 – The TOS defines the type of service. Service availability varies by state and Interconnection Agreements. Tariff references are too voluminous to list.
85	FFA field – Attach C Add DTU form in addition to DTR form. Clarify Feature Feature Detail.	SBC	Ordering	ADC	5/2/01 – Documentation change.

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	SORT value	Curr-ent Status	SBC Response:
86	TGSGNL field – Attach C Add additional clarification to this Product Difference description.	WCOM	Ordering	NR	5/2/01 – Under Investigation 5/23/01- TGSGNL valid entries will be modified for LSOG 5 - E&M will be removed from valid values, as SBC has determined that it was *incorrectly noted as part of this signaling options field.* E&M signaling can be ordered in addition to the options noted in the TGSGNL field, and is valid for all SBC regions. It will be ordered in Feature field. TGSGNL will be removed from ATTACHMENT C and moved to ATTACHMENT B. Corrections to LSOR will be implemented with walkthrough.
87	ISPID field - Attach C *Cleanup condition 3 on LSOR Port form to positive reference of 14N instead of 10N or 11N prohibited.*	WCOM	Ordering	ADC	5/2/01 – Documentation change.
88	VTE field – Attach C Explain whether existing table can be used to derive the VTE. Explore the use of USOC versus VTE field (which is only in PB). Clarify how Customized Routing is indicated in all regions?	WCOM	Ordering	RPA	5/2/01 – Under Investigation. 5/11/01 - (PT. 1) VTE Field can be removed - The FID "VTE" can be supplied in the FEATURE FIELD and the assigned virtual exchange, which would be established by the CLEC's footprint in the switch, would be populated in the Feature Detail field. The VTE would only be required on ACT N or V, or LNA = N. (Once the CLEC has established a VTE in the switch, all tns for that CLEC in that SWITCH will have the same customized routing option). Example: FA = N, FEATURE = VTE, FEATURE DETAIL = 02 LSR s with VTE will fall to manual handling. (PT. 2) Customized Routing has not been developed for UNE - P in SNET. SWBT utilizes USOCS & FIDS in Feature/ Feature Detail fields to order - SRPAN and LRS. AIT PMO had specialized non-OBF fields for OA/DA routing. For FMO uniform, the USOCS/FIDS will be populated in Feature /Feature Detail fields. In addition AIT had

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	SORT value	Curr-ent Status	SBC Response:
	: .				implemented customized routing with AIN technology utilized with AIT ULS with shared transport. This is ordered utilizing LCC can the valid LCC would be populated in the Feature/Feature Detail With this in mind, moving VTE for PB/NB to Feature/Feature detail, will be a more uniform solution. 5/18/01 – WCOM requested RPA.
89	ALI field – Attach D Does PB still require same ALI code for all listings on a caption?	SPRINT	Directory	NR	5/3/01 – Under Investigation 5/11/01 - In UPOR – PB will use ALI as the other regions – all non-LML DLs require a unique ALI code. SBC feels further clarification of the CLECs PMO issue may be required. 5/15/01 - CLEC says they use PB ALI code for submitting Caption or "simple captions" and related ALI codes. SPRINT observed that a note to the PB LSOR states: "Allows the caption header to be linked to the caption indent. Caption indents to be associated with a caption header should contain the same ALI as the caption header." Sprint asked, if this is the case today, how will it be handled with the future uniform release? Change Status to AIR for SBC to validate. 5/18/01 -The LSOR references made by SPRINT were reviewed by PB directory. It was revealed that the LSOR note referenced above in the 5/15/01 response is invalid. In PB, the ALI needs to be unique for each listing regardless of STYC, i.e., caption, indent or straight line. In PMO and FMO in PB, a DL can be submitted without an ALI and Gateway will assign.
90	MTN field – ALI field Attachment D Whether or not the MTN field (unique to SWB) should be eliminated with the BRPOR.	AT&T	Directory	NR	5/3/01 – This MTN field is related to use of ALI in issue 89. 5/11/01 – Use of the MTN field within the SWBT region was discussed as part of CAT IV issue 472. While SBC can make use of the ALI uniform, process requires use of the MTN field in SWBT. SBC erred in placing MTN on Attachment B. Rather than add more onerous conditions to the use of the MTN field, SBC recommends placing MTN on Attachment E. 5/15/01 – SBC explained that the only way the ALI code could be made uniform for SWBT, there is a need of the MTN

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	SORT value	Curr-ent Status	SBC Response:
					field to enable the use of ALI code.
					5/15/01 - SBC will take this back and prepare some pictorial examples of PMO for use of ALI and LMLs to see how our FMO recommendation fits.
					5/16/01 – SBC walked through an example of SWBT account structure to address questions from yesterday. CLECs requested that SBC's rule on additional listings not being able to be billed on to a non-published account.
					SBC to research and document in LSOR the relationship between RTY and LTY when LTY is anything other than #1 (which means listed) then the RTY cannot be an additional listing (when second and third char are AL, AM, AR, or AU).
					SBC proposes relaxing the MTN field requirement and SBC will propose using the LTN to derive the appropriate information. After SBC responds with RTY followup above, AT&T and participating CLECs agree to this proposal. Status changed to AIR.
					05/18/01 – Condition added to the LTY field for LSOR documentation: The condition will read : When 2nd and 3rd position of RTY does not equal "ML," LTY must not equal 3, 4, or 5 This condition prohibits additional listings from being non-pub.
					5/25/01 In order to assign an alternate call listing on a port or port with loop to the proper account, a new condition is needed on ATN field: Required when REQTYP is F, U, V, 2, 3, M, S, W, X, Y, ACT = R and LTXTY = AC. A new Note will be added as well: ATN value for REQ TYPs F, U, V, 2, 3, M, S, W, X, Y, when ACT is R and LTXTY is AC should equal the value of the telephone number where the alternate call listing is indented. This value is used to place the alternate listing on the proper end user account.

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	SORT value	Curr-ent Status	SBC Response:
91	YPH field – Attach D CLEC does not want to populate YPH field in SWBT if process is not changing. CLEC thought that CLEC could setup and arrange the free listing to the publisher and not require another customer contact. Use of the "Secure" entry in YPH in SWBT	SPRINT	Directory	CA	5/3/01 - SBC proposed that CLECs will not have to send the secure entry in SWBT for YPH field, and instead just handle this the way we are doing today. CLECs requested to use same code with same data characteristics in all regions to indicate secure. For SWBT, the field is optional. So CLECs who do not want to send the required value/code for secure would not have to send it. If other CLECs wish to send it, they would be allowed. 5/11/01 – The value representing SECURE will be the literal "SECURE" in all four regions. SBC will modify field to be 1-6 A/N. SBC proposes this field be placed in Attachment E in lieu of Attachment D. 5/16/01 – SBC explained that it will be moving the field to E. SPRINT agreed that if YPH field's use of SECURE was optional, they agreed to CLOSE AGREE.
92	ADV field – Attach C a) Validate what the advance to book or advance to book like process is on the retail side for Pacific. b) Validate whether or not the advance to book related field differences are product related.	AT&T	Directory	a)CA b)NR	5/3/01 – Under Investigation. 5/11/01 –There is no Advance to Listing process in either Retail or Wholesale in PB. As stated in original CAT I, II, III Issue Matrix (Issue #386), 　　　"PB: The BOC and printer pull dates have about a 3 week period between them. This does allow for any orders typed after close with a completion date on or before the BOC to be processed in directory. There is no Advance Listing. 　　　If the CLEC needs to change something after the BOC, they must manually submit to the LSC a Printer Listing Change (PLC), as well as a mechanical LSR for ongoing directory. The PLC is sent to the printer to work the change." This process works the same for Wholesale and Retail. 5/16/01 – SBC addressed the PB process for retail operation. SBC will respond to part b separately. Parties felt this was possibly CLOSE DUPLICATE to another related issue. SBC to take back part (b) as UI. 5/23/01 –

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	SORT value	Curr-ent Status	SBC Response:
	⌐ ₁				b) The field differences related to Advance to Book are product related because they result from differences in the terms and conditions pursuant to which the Directory Listings product is made available.
93	ADVCONT field – Attach C Parties proposed to delete the use of ADVCONT, and EA, ADVCONTTN fields.	AT&T	Directory	CA	5/3/01 – Under Investigation. 5/15/01 – With implementation of BR POR the use of fields EA, ADCVONT, and ADVCOVT TN will no longer be used by AAS. 5/16/01 – Parties agree to CLOSE AGREE.
94	DACT, DIRQTY, DIRTYP fields – Attach C Shouldn't the ability to communicate delivery information through the LSR be made uniform throughout the regions.	AT&T	Directory	CD	5/3/01 – Under Investigation 5/11/01 – Assuming this issue related to Collaborative discussion on REQTYP J, SBC recommends CLOSE DUPLICATE to related issue 118. 5/16/01 – Parties agree to CLOSE DUPLICATE TO 118.
95	DIRSUB field – Attach C Add tariff reference for AIT and PB (if applicable).	AT&T	Directory	RPA	5/3/01 – Under Investigation 5/15/01 - The Directory Subsection is a product difference and is not a tariff offering. 5/16/01 –SBC explained further that these are publisher differences. AT&T requested that we make this RPA while they evaluate the overall product difference.
96	DML field – Attach C Verify the CLECs right to exclude their customers listing info from being sold to third parties. Re-validate for all 13 states whether this is contractual with CLECs via their Interconnection Agreements or other Directory Contract.	AT&T	Directory	ADC	5/3/01 – Under Investigation 5/15/01 – SBC is required by law to release all directory listings to requesting competing carriers as required by Sections 271 and 251. Directory white page listings are provided to directory publishers in accordance to the FTA, Section 226 (e). The White Page Appendix of the Interconnection Agreement allows SBC to send a CLECs listings to third party publishers at the CLECs request. This gives CLECs the option of either sending ALL of their listings or none of their listings. A CLECs end user's listing can not be individually blocked from being released to a requesting third party publisher if it is in the listings database, unless it is a non-published number which are never released. The Direct Mailing List rental product, only available in AIT, is available to direct marketing firms. The DML field, on the contrary, is used solely to omit a specific end user from that list. 5/16/01 – SBC explained that the differences are between a

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	SORT value	Curr-ent Status	SBC Response:
					specific rental product selling information to direct *marketeers, vs. publishers.* AT&T agreed to send an "O" for OMIT in AIT for this Direct Mailing List rental. AT&T requested the LSOR be updated to reflect that (Direct Mailing List rental product is only available in AIT region). SBC agreed to update this note in the LSOR. *Parties agree to ADC.*
97	DSUP field – Attach C Correct the product description reference to AVL to be ADV.	AT&T	Directory	ADC	5/3/01 – Documentation change.
98	LALOC field – Attach C Validate whether foreign listing rules should be included for AIT.	Accenture	Directory	NR	5/3/01 – Under Investigation 5/15/01 – Yes. Conditions updated to reflect requirement for community with Foreign listings – Attachment C updated to reflect changes. 5/15/01 – Parties agree to hold this issue until parties have a chance to review language before making it ADC. Change to AIR until LALOC field is correctly documented for related Issue 40. Change to AIR. 05/17/01 - Updated the LASN LALOC conditions on the BRPOR Directory Revisions Handout. Conditions reflect relationship of LALOC and LASN with ADI and Foreign Listings.
99	LASN field – Attach C Can there be a means to make the special characters uniform?	AT&T	Directory	RPA	5/3/01 – Under Investigation 5/15/01 – No. The terms and conditions from the publisher determine the special characters allowed. 5/16/01 – AT&T requested to change status to RPA.
100	LNFN LNLN field – Attach A Validate the special characters per region and document in unique company conditions appropriately – identify on a positive basis.	AT&T	Directory	CA	5/3/01 – Under Investigation 5/15/01 - Special Characters per region have been reviewed and documented in the BRPOR Directory Revisions Handout. 5/16/01 – Parties agree to CLOSE AGREE.
101	LNLN field – Attach A Check first company condition regarding TOA reference for validity. If valid separate into two conditions.	Accenture	Directory	NR	5/3/01 – Under Investigation 5/15/01 – The conditions on LNLN have been updated to correct condition on TOA and documented in the BRPOR Directory Revisions Handout. 5/16/01 – Clarify the conditions and change status to AIR for CLECs to review new language. 5/17/01 – Replaced the 3rd condition in the BRPOR Directory

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	SORT value	Curr-ent Status	SBC Response:
					Revisions HANDOUT to be added to the LSOR for the LNLN field: When SC/SC1 = IL, IN, MI, OH, WI and TOA is B or BP, the only valid special characters are apostrophe, virgule, hyphen, ampersand, or period. 5/18/01 - In 4th condition, add the other special characters from the third condition. The remainder of 4th condition is fine. These special characters are valid all the time, but when you have TOA is B or BP you can also have a period. For LNFN condition 3,and 4, should correlate to LNLN its 2 and 3. The LNFN condition 3 should match LNLN condition 2; and LNFN condition 3 should match condition 4. Change to AIR until CLECs can review the verbiage. 5/23/01 - The 3rd condition on LNFN is not going to match the 2nd condition on LNLN exactly because LNFN allows two additional special characters ($ and #) which are not allowed on LNLN. Following are the 3rd and 4th conditions on LNFN and 2nd and 3rd conditions on LNLN that will documented in the LSOR. LNFN: When SC/SC1 = IL, IN, MI, OH, WI, and TOA = R or RP, the only valid special characters are Dollar Sign ($), Pound sign (#), Ampersand (&), Apostrophe ('), Hyphen (-), and Virgule (/) When SC/SC1 = IL, IN, MI, OH, WI, and when TOA = B or BP, the only valid special characters are Ampersand (&), Apostrophe ('), Hyphen (-), and Virgule (/) and Period (.) LNFN: When SC SC1 = IL, IN, MI, OH, WI and TOA = R the only valid special character is apostrophe (') virgule (/), hyphen (-) or ampersand. When SC/SC1 = IL, IN, MI, OH, WI, and when TOA = B or BP,

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	SORT value	Curr-ent Status	SBC Response:
					the only valid special characters are Ampersand (&), Apostrophe ('), Hyphen (-), and Virgule (/) and Period (.)
102	LTEXT field – Attach C Validate the special characters per region and document in unique company conditions appropriately – identify on a positive basis.	WCOM	Directory	ADC	5/3/01 – Under Investigation 5/15/01 - Special Characters per region have been reviewed and documented. 5/16/01 – Fix 3rd condition - typo SC/SC! change to SC/SC1. Fix 6th condition to change SNET to CT.
103	LAZC field – Attach C a) Parties agree to correct condition 6 to read: "Prohibited when SC/SC1 = IL, IN, WI, OH, MI, CT." b) Check use of LAZC in PB/NB and document appropriately? c) Validate and clean up all conditions on this field.	SBC	Directory	a)ADC b)CA c)NR	5/3/01 – Documentation change. 5/15/01 - a) Conditions on BRPOR Directory Revisions Handout.corrected. b) In PB use of LAZC is required whenever Listed Address fields are populated. c) Conditions on BRPOR Directory Revisions Handout. corrected. 5/16/01 – Parties agree to ADC (a), CLOSE AGREE-CA (b). For (c), CLECs requested documentation change to show the condition on an anchor field (like done with SA++). This will eliminate the repeated condition on the ADI and LA++ fields. Change status to AIR to have SBC provide changed language. 05/18/01 – c) Updated LASN, LALOC and removed ADI condition on LAZC because the same condition is on LASN & LALOC, therefore, not required on any other LA++ fields that flow from either LASN or LALOC. Changes will also be made to LSOR.
104	LTXTY field – Attach C Remove duplicate 4th condition.	AT&T	Directory	ADC	5/3/01 – Documentation change.
105	Add general note to LSOR in the beginning section entitled "Form Description" in Section 3 that clarifies that a condition which applies to all states will not include the individual states abbreviations.	AT&T	LSOR	ADC	5/3/01 – Documentation change.
106	PLA field – Attach C Parties agree to eliminate the third condition. Clean up ALL redundancy and re-number conditions.	AT&T	Directory	ADC	5/3/01 – Documentation change.
107	Perform overall clean up of all Data Entry Condition sections of the LSOR for all Directory fields	WCOM	Directory	ADC	5/3/01 – Documentation change. 5/15/01 - Accenture recommended for LASN, if the conditions

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	SORT value	Curr-ent Status	SBC Response:
	('				are required, then combine the 4th and 5th condition into one condition similar to condition #3. If they were not true, then eliminate.
108	STYC field Make sure LSOR removes the ampersand that was already struck through.	WCOM	Directory	ADC	5/3/01 – Documentation change.
109	TOA field – Attach C Remove redundant last line of the 3rd condition. Cleanup and reword the whole section for company conditions.	AT&T	Directory	ADC	5/3/01 – Documentation change.
110	WPP field – Attach C • Items in the data column that appear to be more of Unique Company Notes. • Also, Zli should be ZL8 • State that WPP field is prohibited in WISC. So remove WI from condition 1 Therefore this needs to be in Attach C. • Add condition "prohibited when TOA = Business" in both Att. C and LSOR.	WCOM	Directory	ADC	5/3/01 – Documentation change.
111	DNO field – Attach C Clean up product difference description to reflect "Used in CT to advise the publisher to ignore the suppress the normal print suppression."	WCOM	Directory	ADC	5/3/01 – Under Investigation 5/15/01 – Attachment C updated with recommended verbiage. 5/16/01 – WCOM requested wording change in product difference in Attach C - "Used in SNET to advise publisher to print "St" for street since it is normally suppressed". Data Matrix is correct. Parties agree to ADC.
112	LPHRASE – Attach C Remove unique company note RE: Case Sensitivity being that the values are all numeric.	Accenture	Directory	ADC	5/3/01 – Documentation change.
113	LVL field – Attach C Request SC = all regions other than CT. Valid entries 0-7 be added to unique company conditions. Reword note to the positive.	WCOM	Directory	ADC	5/3/01 – Under Investigation 5/15/01 – LSOR documentation only requires exception conditions to valid entries to be included. 5/16/01 – SBC is updating LSOR to add 0-7 to the "valid entries" section to the LSOR. Change status to ADC.
114	PLINFO, PLTN, FAINFO, FATN, DIRSUB fields – Attach A Verify why this field is designated as Alpha numeric special , but there are no special characters listed. This is accurate if no restrictions or limitations on characters.	Accenture	Directory	NR	5/3/01 – Under Investigation 5/15/01 - Special characters for PLINFO, PLTN, FAINFO, or FATN are based on the text or TN information in the prior level, therefore, the special characters allowable in LNLN, LNFN, LTN, etc., guide which special characters are allowable

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	SORT value	Curr-ent Status	SBC Response:
	∷∘				in these fields. The data matrices and LSOR reflect the data characteristics as A/N/S to permit special characters if the prior level contains the same. 5/16/01 – SBC to check if there are any edits on this field. If so please provide conditions as appropriate. Change to AIR. 5/18/01 – LSOR conditions will be as follows: Fields: PLINFO PLTN FAINFO FATN Valid special characters when SC/SC1 = CA, NV Ampersand (&) Apostrophe (') Hyphen (-) Period (.) Virgule (/) Valid special characters when SC/SC1 = IL, IN, MI, OH, WI Ampersand (&) Apostrophe (') Asterisk (*) At sign (@) Comma (,) Dollar ($) Hyphen (-) Number/Pound (#) Parentheses (()) Percent (%) Period (.) Virgule (/) Valid special characters when SC/SC1 = AR, KS, MO, OK, TX Ampersand (&) Apostrophe (') Asterisk (*) At sign (@) Comma (,) Hyphen (-) Number/Pound (#) Parentheses (())

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	SORT value	Curr-ent Status	SBC Response:
					Percent (%) Period (.) Virgule (/) Valid special characters when SC/SC1 = CT Ampersand (&) Apostrophe (') Asterisk (*) At sign (@) Comma (,) Hyphen (-) Number/Pound (#) Parentheses (()) Percent (%) Virgule (/)
115	NICK field – Attach C Update with any applicable Tariff references. Under unique company condition #1 reword to remove double negatives.	WCOM	Directory	NR	5/3/01 – Under Investigation 5/15/01 – Applicable tariff references added to Attachment C, as well as rewording the double negative. 5/16/01 - SBC will add the SWBT states. WCOM requested AIR to review before agree to ADC. 5/22/01 - The LSOR will reflect the following for this field: Optional when TOA = "R" or "BP", and SC/SC1 = AR, KS, MO, OK, TX, otherwise prohibited. Optional when TOA equal = "R" and SC/SC1 = IL, MI, OH, WI, otherwise prohibited. Prohibited when SC/SC1 = CA, CT, NV, IN.
116	RTY field – Attach C NV is missing from the unique company condition.	WCOM	Directory	ADC	5/3/01 – Documentation change.
117	LALO field – Attach C Determine if other regions (AIT, PB/NB, SNET) can utilize this field instead of the line of information (LOI) and whether a charge could be applied if applicable.	AT&T	Directory	NR	5/3/01 – Under Investigation 5/11/01 – No. SWB utilizes LALO field for the product of Supplemental Address Information. This expands the Listed Address and prints this on the address line as address text. The other regions use the Extra Line (LOI) to print additional address info as an extra line (only as additional text) and not part of the actual address text. 5/16/01 – CLECs want to try to consolidate the use of fields

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	SORT value	Curr-ent Status	SBC Response:
					where ever possible. SBC will review this again to see if any modifications can be made. Also, CLECs question since LALO was used PMO in SNET, why is it not used in FMO? 5/23/01 - Attachment C LALO has been updated to: Supplemental Address is a print product available only in SWB and SNET. This offering allows multiple address or location information to be printed. The data in this field is appended to the normal listed address, appearing on the same line of print as the listed address. AIT and PB/NB do not offer a comparable product. *A separate product offering is the Extra Line Listing, which is ordered in the field LTXTY = LOI, and is strictly a Line of Information.* In SNET and AIT, this product is not available via LSR/DSR, *but is only ordered through the publishing company.* (OH & IN have a White Page Product called Custom Listing Text but that is ordered via the LTXTY of WPP.) SWB and PB/NB use the Line of Information as an indented line & cannot be *appended to either address or listing text.*
118	DACT DDASN field – Attach C Revisit the process for changing a directory delivery address for REQTYP J facility based CLECs. Mechanized changes in delivery address information is allowed in AIT, RECTYP J on ACT R. What would the process be if we cannot change the delivery address on a electronic basis?	AT&T	Directory	NR	5/3/01 – Under Investigation 5/15/01 – Attachment C updated with terms and conditions *for ordering Directories on initial and subsequent requests for* AIT, SNET and PB. In SNET, since directory delivery information cannot be specified, none of the related DDA type fields are allowed. 5/16/01 – For AIT, *Delivery Address changes* can be accepted electronically but Delivery Quantity changes cannot be handled electronically as it is addressed directly with the individual publisher. The Directory Quantity information is not retained in SBC databases. CLECs request that SBC pass the requested quantity onto the publisher. DIRQTYA for annual counts. SBC to investigate and if so whether the use of this field can be made uniform. Change status to UI. AT&T also inquired if the DIRQTYA could be "0" to be no delivery. SBC will verify.

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	SORT value	Curr-ent Status	SBC Response:
					AT&T also inquired if no DDA++ was originally provided and CLEC wants to change the delivery address, is an O & I DACT required. Accenture had questions on DACT. Clarify the 3rd, 4th, and 5th condition of DACT to include the AIT delivery info can be changed only for RECTYP J. SBC will investigate. Accenture asked: • Are there edits in place between DDA++ and DACT for LSOG5? • If so, does it make the DDA++ fields required when the DACT field is populated? • If so, does CLEC have to populate the DDA++ fields when DACT = D? • Also, if the original DDA++ fields were equivalent to the service address fields, do the SA fields need to be recapped on the O of an O and I activity? SBC will also add for 4th & 5th condition, when SC = the appropriate State codes. 5/23/01 – SBC confirmed that the DIRQTYA can be 0. An O and I DACT will be required if DDA++ was originally provided and CLEC wants to change the delivery address. The 3, 4, and 5th conditions on DACT were modified to reflect that DACT (delivery information) may be modified on REQTYP J when ACT does not equal N. Since REQTYP J has valid ACTS of N, D and R, delivery information may be changed on ACT R, (however, only delivery address information may be changed, quantity cannot.) Accenture – Responses: • The conditions defining the relationships between DDA++ and DACT will be edited. • When DACT is populated, DDA++ is optional because directory quantity can be changed or delivery address. To clarify this, the following was added to the DACT field

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	SORT value	Curr-ent Status	SBC Response:
					- When DACT is populated DIRTYP, DIRQTY or DDASN is required. • If the original DDA++ fields are equivalent to the SA fields, the SA fields do not need to be recapped, use DACT value N. Added SC values to 4th and 5th conditions. SBC has confirmed that it can alter the use of the DACT and DDASN fiels in Ameritech so that both directory address and delivery quantity changes may be submitted on REQTYP J on an ACT R.
119	CAT IV ISSUE MATRIX (version 3/21) CLARIFICATIONS: a) Based on 3/21 issue matrix. Incorrect phrasing in 679, should read the LTXTY field instead of LPHRASE. b) Incomplete verbiage in 677 that says change note to read. "URL addresses are in" but stops. Should be any of the states. c) Attachment A shows LTXNUM field is now prohibited. IS THIS TRUE? Issue 678 from 3/21 shows otherwise.	AT&T	CAT IV	a)CA b)ADC c)CA	5/3/01 – Under Investigation 5/11/01 – a) CATIV Issue 679 was corrected in 5/10/01 Final Revised CAT IV Issue Matrix and distributed to participating CLECs on 5/10/01. b) SBC verified that Issue 677 contains the complete response and is not truncated as indicated. 5/16/01 – SBC's issue matrix was correct but the LSOR had a typo remaining that needed the word "in" deleted. Change status to ADC. c) CATIV Issue 678 was corrected in 5/10/01 Final Revised CAT IV Issue Matrix and distributed to participating CLECs on 5/10/01. The field name incorrectly read LTXNUM and was changed to correct field LTXTY for this issue.
120	CAT IV ISSUE MATRIX (version 3/21) CLARIFICATION: On RPON field in second section of LSR, parties had agreed in the collaborative that the use of the RPON (for the same end user) would be the same date, but not necessarily the same location. CLEC feels this to accommodate a move and coordination and that this was an oversight that we didn't pick up in Cat IV data.	AT&T	Ordering	ADC	5/3/01 – Under Investigation 5/17/01 – The following note will be added to the LSOR: "The data in the RPON field must have the same due date and end user as the PON. The location does not have to be the same." 5/18/01 – Parties agree to ADC.

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	SORT value	Curr-ent Status	SBC Response:
121	CAT IV: ISSUE MATRIX (version 3/21) CLARIFICATION: LAZC field – Attach C BRPOR shows LAZC field as product difference. LAZC field in issue 688 from the CAT IV issue matrix states that we would be making this uniform through BRPOR. Issue commitment in Cat IV list needs to be corrected. SBC will take back and re-validate which reference to LAZC is correct. **(UPOR CAT IV issue 688)** DL form – LAZC field 1) Can the listed address on the DL Form become optional FMO when the service address and the listed address are the same? 2) How was PB able to provide this service PMO?	AT&T	Directory	CD	2/8/01 – Under Investigation 2-22-01 - SBC will change to allow the SA to serve as the LA when they are the same and under certain circumstances: LA cannot be an indented address (LTXTY value cannot be ADR") If LTXTY value is ADR, then LASN or LALOC must be populated. LA must be present if EU AFT field is populated. As requirements for this option are completed, other conditions may arise which will be identified in the final documentation. 3/1/01 - SBC will allow the SA to serve as the LA when they are the same and under certain circumstances: LA cannot be an indented address (LTXTY value cannot be ADR). If LTXTY value is ADR, then LASN or LALOC must be populated. LA must be present if EU AFT field is populated. When SC/SC1 is CA or NV, the EU form Service Address data must be provided on ACT V when LUC is Y. 3/6/01 – AT&T requested that this is related back to SASN field on EU form to ensure the rules are the same. SBC to take back as AIR. AT&T also wanted to know if this difference would be addressed by the BRPOR. 3/21/01 - As per Issue 632, the following condition will be changed to correct the reference to LUC: When SC/SC1 is CA or NV, the EU form Service Address data must be provided on ACT V when the DL form is present. 3/21/01 - SBC plans to make the use of the LAZC field uniform as part of the Business Rules Plan of Record (BRPOR). 5/3/01 – BRPOR shows LAZC field as product difference. LAZC field in issue 688 from the CAT IV issue matrix states that we would be making this uniform through BRPOR. Issue commitment in Cat IV list needs to be corrected. SBC will take back and re-validate which reference to LAZC is correct.

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	SORT value	Curr-ent Status	SBC Response:
					5/15/01 – *SBC recommends CLOSE DUPLICATE to Issue #10.*
122	Notifications - Jeopardies How does jeopardy code 5A get applied? And is there concern with Performance Measures. Can SBC edit to disallow 5A unless ESDD was provided on previous jeopardy? SBC to examine alternatives. SBC to validate process for validating and confirming a due date when the due date on the LSR is not valid per the due date board. Examine both flow thru and manual intervention processes.	WCOM AT&T	Notifications	CA	5/3/01 – Under Investigation 5/11/01 - Jeopardy Code 5A has been revised to say "Order Proc Delay New Due Date Assigned". For this message a new due will be sent. This would be used in the instance when the order was delayed and the FOC'd date was no longer available. This will allow tracking as necessary and performance measures are not impacted. For LSRs with products that have standard due date intervals, if the LSR is received and due date is less than the standard *interval, rather than rejecting, a new due date will be* assigned based on the interval. The new due date will be returned on the FOC. This is currently done in all regions except SWBT, which will be changed to agree with other regions. 5/15/01- Check the LASR edit that must be today or future due date. Will this still be in effect, or will the FOC send a new due date. SBC verified and responded Yes edit will be in effect (will reject) and will not send new due date in FOC. Parties agreed to CLOSE AGREE.
123	Notifications - Jeopardies AT&T's requested that the Jeopardy codes SBC mapped to PIA8 be reworked to provide detail reason for requesting cancellation.	AT&T	Notifications	CA	5/3/01 – *Under Investigation* 5/11/01 - Jeopardy Codes that were mapped to the PIA8 have been reworked. See Jeopardy Code handout. Rather than mapping to PIA8, specific jeopardy codes have been added for each jeopardy situation indicating why the cancellation is being requested. 5/15/01 – Parties agree to change status to CLOSE AGREE.
124	Notifications – Jeopardies AT&T disagreed with implementation of a unilateral cancellation code per CAT IV discussion. In any event, such a code would not be a Jeopardy code (new *Jeopardy code 5C*).	AT&T	Notifications	NR	5/3/01 – Under Investigation 5/11/01 - The original 5C Jeopardy Code "CLEC No Response to Jeop-Order Cancelled-Send CAN Supp" has been eliminated. PIA8 has been changed to "Request over 30 days old-Send CAN Supp". PIA8 would be sent when the due date has passed and over 30 days old and a new due date has not been received. See Jeopardy Code Handout. 5/15/01 – Parties agree to change code name for PIA8 to something more closely reflecting "stale" outdated order. *CLECs were concerned with the removal of the jeopardy code*

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	SORT value	Curr-ent Status	SBC Response:
					5C that indicated "PON Cancelled". SBC explained that this was at CLEC requests out of the SWBT CLEC User Forums. After further discussion, SBC clarified that the unilateral code to force a CANCEL an order was removed. AT&T agreed that it should be a PIA, not a jeopardy, and agreed to change status to AIR for SBC to determine appropriate new TAG name for PIA8. 5/17/01 – Handout will be updated for CLEC review 5/17/01. 5/17/01 – Jeopardy Handout - PIA8 verbiage changed from "Request over 30 days old-Send CAN Supp" to "PON Old/Stale-Last Notice 30days Ago-Send CAN Supp"
125	Notifications – Jeopardies SBC will review Jeopardy Code 3E for specificity?	AT&T	Notifications	CA	5/3/01 – Under Investigation 5/15/01 - The description of Jeopardy Code 3E was to add "SBC" so that it will be clear to the CLECs that this is something SBC would resolve. The jeop now reads: "3E – SBC Order Incorrect/Incomplete". This would result in the network finding something incorrect with the actual order. It is anticipated that a call would be made from the LOC to the Service Center to resolve the problem. Could result in a need for the Service Center to call the CLEC. 5/15/01 – Parties agree to CLOSE AGREE.
126	DIRIDL field – Attach A This field was not unique across the regions, but there are specific "SC" on Attachment A. How is this being handled (uniform or product difference)?	Accenture	Directory	NR	5/4/01 – Under Investigation 5/16/01 – The only difference in the use of the field relates to the actual valid values for the particular book where the listing is to appear. This field is being altered to be 2-6 A/N and specific SC notes are being removed. 5/18/01 – The only difference in the use of the field relates to the valid format of the valid entries for the particular book where the listing is to appear. This field is being altered to be 2-6 A/N, but the specific SC formats will remain as conditions: When SC/SC1 = AR, CT, KS, MO, OK, TX, valid format is 6N. When SC/SC1 = IL, IN, MI, OH, WI, valid format is 4A. When SC/SC1 = CA, NV, valid format is 2-3A. SBC considers this uniform.

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	SORT value	Curr-ent Status	SBC Response:
127	Attachment F – NC/NCI What progress has been made to synch up NC/NCI codes across regions (setting aside imbedded base)?	AT&T	Attachment F	NR	5/4/01 – Under Investigation 5/23/01 – An updated NC/NCI comparison Attachment F has been provided.
128	Unintentionally left blank				
129	Attachment F – NC/NCI Change heading on NC/NCI analysis matrix for column "NCI End User" to "SEC/NCI End User".	WCOM	Attachment F	ADC	5/4/01 – Documentation change.
130	Attachment B Request to revise and update attachment to remove any field that is prohibited (eg. "P") for uniform FMO.	AT&T	Attachment B	ADC	5/4/01 – Documentation change.
131	SPRINT requested Training on Directory processes that will be implemented with Uniform FMO.	SPRINT	Directory	NR	5/3/01 – Under Investigation 5/11/01 – SBC agrees to hold a workshop or training course on Uniform Directory Processes. SBC and CLECs need to decide when the training should be held. 5/16/01 - SBC agreed at CLECs request to target an August time frame geared toward electronic processes. CLECs also requested that Captions SME be present. Change to AIR. 5/23/01 – SBC has begun preparations for this class/workshop. There will be no charge for attendance. SBC will provide registration information via accessible letter.
132	Notifications - Jeopardies Reconfirm whether the jeopardy code plus the phrase is sent or if it is just the code.	WCOM	Notifications	RPA	5/4/01 – Under Investigation 5/11/01 - The Jeopardy Notification will contain the Jeopardy Code in the RCODE field and the phrase in the RDET field. 5/15/01 – CLECs requested status change to RPA while they research this issue of whether detail is returned with PIA codes.
133	Notifications – Directory FOCs For AIT, provide details of each directory notification with the various versions – LSOG 4-Issue 7, and the June Release.	AT&T	Directory	CA	5/4/01 – Under Investigation 5/11/01 Currently with Issue 7 and LSOG 4, listings (other than facility based listings) are received on the LSR along with the product being ordered. A FOC and SOC is returned based on the PON. Facility based listings are handled directly with AAS. Listings are received via a DSR. Today, CLECs do receive a FOC from

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	SORT value	Curr-ent Status	SBC Response:
	? ·				AAS per listing. Directory provided tools (proof pages, etc.) are provided for CLECs to validate the completion process of a listing. No SOC is provided today.
					In June 2001, AIT will:
					- Begin accepting facility-based listings with REQTYP A/B/C (on LSR) as well as REQTYP J (on DSR), in addition to what it already receives for non-facility-based listings via the LSR.
					- A FOC and SOC will be generated based on the PON for all requests other than REQTYP J.
					- REQTYP J will receive a FOC but will not receive a SOC. For facility based listings, CLECs will continue using proof pages or other directory provided tools to validate completion of listings.
					With the UPOR release, AIT will add a SOC for REQTYP J.
					5/15/01 – Parties agreed to CLOSE AGREE.
134	For AIT, are rejects returned at the PON level or TN level?	AT&T	Notifications	CA	5/4/01 – Under Investigation
					5/11/01 - When a request is received, the entire request is mechanically edited and all errors returned on a single error transaction response based on the PON. However each edit is identified at the TN level. The error message would identify the LNUM (Line Number) and TN where the error appears. If there were three errors, one for each line number, there would be separate errors for each indicating the specific LNUM and TN.
					If an manual reject is sent, it could contain an error for only one TN but it would still be based on the PON. The transaction is the same except that it is manually generated by the Service Center.
					This is the same process that is used today and will be the same in uniform.
					5/15/01 – Parties agreed to CLOSE AGREE.

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	SORT value	Curr-ent Status	SBC Response:
135	"Consistent with SBC's commitment (OSS POR Issue 83 – Uniform Pre-Ordering Functions) to address in the Business Rule POR those differences in pre-order business rules that exist because of differences in backend systems, SBC's BR POR should include an analysis of pre-order data elements and a documented plan to achieve uniformity. The only differences region-to-region should be those directly related to state-level regulatory requirements or product offerings identified in state tariffs."	AT&T	General	NR	5/10/01 – Under Investigation 5/11/01 – SBC made no such commitment in the collaborative sessions or in its response to issue 83. Issue 83, which is classified as "Other" and was posed by Rhythms, solely addressed the issue of whether SBC's commitment under the Uniform and Enhanced POR (U&E POR) extends to backend systems, rather than to the front end interfaces. SBC's response was limited to that question, and rightly pointed out that "[t]he Business Rules POR exists to address the differences in business rules including those that may exist because of differences in backend systems." As SBC repeatedly stated during the collaborative sessions, and maintained in arbitration, the U&E POR is limited only to front end interfaces." As even a cursory examination of SBC's response to issue 83 makes clear, SBC was not addressing the question AT&T now raises, which is whether the scope of the BR POR extends to pre-order interfaces. As AT&T knows, because it raised the issue, that question was addressed at issue 725, which specifically addresses the scope of the BR POR. In response to that question, SBC unequivocally stated: "While SBC may address other differences in the Business Rules POR (BRPOR), Paragraph 31 of the Merger Conditions requires SBC to establish uniform business rules or a software solution only for local service requests." Consequently, as the record makes clear, there is no basis for AT&T's position.
136	Notifications – Jeopardy Handout Jeopardy code 3B – add SBC in parenthetical after the English description. Also add CLEC in parenthetical after 4P.	AT&T	Notifications	ADC	5/15/01 –Parties agreed Documentation change.
137	Notifications – Jeopardy Handout 1. For category 1, 2, and 5 Jeopardies, document to reflect who would be responsible. 2. Request to map FMO jeopardy codes to SBC network codes used to collect and track performance data. 3. Map the FMO jeopardy codes to impact/potential impact on performance measure data. 4. Request some expanded	AT&T	Notifications	NR	5/15/01 – Under Investigation. 5/23/01 – SBC has updated the Uniform Jeopardy codes handout to indicate the responsible parties. SBC has provided a handout illustration the mapping of network codes to the jeopardy codes.

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	SORT value	Curr-ent Status	SBC Response:
	documentation/explanation of codes to further assist understanding.				
138	Notifications – Jeopardy Handout Request wording addition to handout legend: 5C Jeopardy previously sent without ESDD	AT&T	Notifications	ADC	5/15/01 –Parties agreed Documentation change.
139	DSUP – DSR form 1) How is support of a process to specify future due date on facility based Directory orders a product difference? 2) Is SBC willing to make usage and valid entries of the DSUP field uniform? 3) Should conditions define valid entry "3 – other"? AT&T stated that these issues are related to CAT IV Issues 637 and 638.	AT&T	Directory	NR	5/15/01 – Under Investigation. 5/16/01 - 1) SBC supports the ability to provide Advance to Listing in AIT in SNET. This functionality constitutes a difference in the terms and conditions under which the product is offered in each region. 2) No. SBC notes that AT&T specifically raised this sub-issue, and sub-issue 3, in the U&E POR Category IV collaborative, and SBC stated then that it would not make usage and valid entries of the SUP field uniform as part of this POR. SBC further notes that AT&T and SBC specifically settled issues 637 and 638, as well as other issues, arising out of the Category IV collaboratives. 3) No. This matches the use of a 3 in all SUP transactions. It communicates that its not a due date change, and not a cancel. It is any other change.
140	UPOR Issue informational issue – EDI Trading Partners AT&T requested that SBC identify or provide documentation that will educate CLECs on the FMO basis for the LSOG 4/5 on how trading partners IDs will be determined. Need more detailed documentation regarding ISA and GS segments.	AT&T WCOM	UPOR Issue informational	NR	5/16/01 – Under Investigation 5/23/01 – As referenced in the Interconnect agreement and the POR, the CLEC will have the capability to have up to 3 ID's per region per function. The format of the trading partner ID will be determined jointly between SBC and the CLEC, with the understanding that SBC must control the assignment of ID's to avoid collisions and confusion in the naming schemes. The trading partner ID is contained in the ISA segment as the ISA06. The GS identifier does not play a role in redefining the trading partner ID.
141	UPOR Issue informational issue – EDI Trading Partners Would SBC consider permitting the GS02 to be the driver for returning to the CLEC transmissions that identify which group within the company needs to	AT&T	UPOR – EDI	NR	5/16/01 – Under Investigation 5/23/01 – The ISA identifier specifies the CLEC entity. Routing within

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	SORT value	Curr-ent Status	SBC Response:
	receive the return transaction.				the CLEC entity is the responsibility of the CLEC. SBC is already providing a means to split a CLEC into 3 entities via ISA ID's. There exists a mechanism for a CLEC to request a fourth ID if it becomes impossible for a CLEC to route with only three identifiers.
142	USOC Analysis Request to add the BCR (Bellcore) and the SOSC codes columns back to this USOC Summary as of 05-16-01.	AT&T WCOM	USOC Analysis	NR	5/17/01 – Under Investigation 5/23/01 – Attachment F has been updated.
143	USOC Analysis Need to determine if SBC can provide USOC analysis from the standpoint of where USOC codes differ today in 2 or more SBC regions for the same product regardless of whether product description is an exact match.	AT&T	USOC Analysis	NR	5/17/01 – Under Investigation 5/23/01 – Attachment F has been updated.
144	USOC Analysis SBC will check to see what is used for local ordering of RemoteCallForwardingIntrastate.	AT&T	USOC Analysis	NR	5/17/01 – Under Investigation 5/23/01 CA retail USOCS that denote Intrastate RCF service *ML, *BM, *MLSL, * BMSL, *LM, *LF, *RM, *FR, *RMSL, *FRSL, *LMSL, * LFSL, *RMSL, *FRSL, CA Resale USOCs that denote Intrastate RCF service: *RL, *BR, *LR, *FC, *CM, *CR, *RLSL, *BRSL, *LRSL, *FCSL, *CMSL, *CRSL, NV Resale USOCs that denote Intrastate RCF service *FC, *FL, *CR, *FF
145	USOC Analysis Can the USOC Description be expanded so the CLEC can determine the actual difference in the product? (additional level of detail)	AT&T	USOC Analysis	NR	5/17/01 – Under Investigation 5/23/01 – Attachment F has been resorted where the USOC are shown on different lines. The product is truly different.
146	USOC Analysis Can the USOC dependencies be identified? When are the additional FIDs and USOCs required and what are they?	WCOM	USOC Analysis	NR	5/17/01 – Under Investigation 5/23/01 – This information could be provided so part of a search tool or included in the CLEC Handbook.
147	FID Analysis Whether the FID analysis contains just those FIDs contained in the LSR or whether it is more inclusive? Is this a complete list of FIDs including other sections	AT&T	FID Analysis	NR	5/17/01 – Under Investigation 5/23/01 – SBC has updated attachment F with FIDS. SBC has attempted to identify FID similarities across the regions. The match rate is less than 3%.

#	Issue	CLEC(s)	SORT value	Curr-ent Status	SBC Response:
	of CSR (eg. Bill section, S&E, etc)? Whether SBC has looked at whether there are instances where the FID is serving the same purposes in two or more regions?				
148	Attachment A Parties agree that there is no need to update Attachment A and maintain competing documents. CLECs request updates made during collab to be included in upcoming walkthrough documentation.	WCOM	Attachment A	NR	5/17/01 – Under Investigation 5/23/01 – Changes made to uniform release documentation post 5/15/01 will be communicated verbally during the upcoming walk thru and documented in the revisions to be released 7/31/01.
149	Order Status and Provisioning Order Status (from CATIV discussion) Will this be considered as part of BRPOR to include if any additional uniformity would be applicable?	AT&T	Ordering	NR	5/18/01 - Under Investigation 5/23/01 – As OS and POS promote provisioning functionality they will not be directly altered as part of the Plan. If, however, any of the changes made as part of this Plan impact fields included in the OS & POS transactions, these changes would be reflected.

KEY:
CD – Closed Duplicate/Related
CA – Closed Agreed
RPA – Responded Pending Agreement
PCA – Pending CLEC Action
NR – New Response (to be shared)
AIR – Additional Information Requested
UI – Under Investigation
PL – Pending Language
DO – Disagree open – The parties agree to disagree.
ADC – Agreed Documentation Change